PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 24 JUN 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference							
W 3081-115		rion ;	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/SE2004/001126	International filing date (d. 12.07.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.07.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
A21B1/48							
			• •				
Annilland							
Applicant INTER IKEA SYSTEMS B.V. et al.							
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	of 6 sheets, including thi	s cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied b	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:						
a. \square sent to the applicant and t	o the International Burea	u) a total of sheets, a	s follows:				
 sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). 							
	•	ich this Authority consi	iders contain an amendment that goes				
beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the						
sequence listing and/or tal	sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental						
Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
``	•	•					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op.	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
☐ Box No. II Priority							
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	□ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
applicability; ci	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
. 🖾 Box-No. VIII Certain observ	. ⊠ Box·No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	nis report				
05.02.2005		23.06.2005					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	.ushas Pateorem.				
European Patent Office			in the same of the				
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	3656 epmu d	Merkt, A					
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	·	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-2935				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001126

_	Вох	No. I Basis of the report	t		
1.	With filed	regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4) v examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	hav	re been furnished to the rece	If the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the not annexed to this report):	s which n this	
	Des	cription, Pages			
	1-7		as originally filed		
	Clai	ims, Numbers			
	1-13	3	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/3-	3/3	as originally filed		
		a sequence listing and/or a	any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		☐ the description, pages	sulted in the cancellation of:		
		☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig			
		☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s			
4.		had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig			
		☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s			
	*	If item 4 applies, s	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded.	u	

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001126

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Claims

No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4 987 828 D2: US-A-6 147 336 D3: US-A-4 565 704

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a device (for making omelets), the device having <u>plates of magnetic material and</u> a conveyor (for transferring <u>said</u> plates linearly through the device from a position for supplying each plate with omelet batter to a position for removal of a finished omelet from the device), wherein at least two separately controllable induction heaters are positioned under the conveyor in two treatment stations.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known device in that at least one infrared heater is positioned above the conveyor.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide an additional browning of the top of the omelets.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) as it is not rendered obvious by any of the available prior art documents.

Claims 2-12 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The document D1 is also regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001126

claim 13, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a method (for making omelets in a device <u>according to one of the claims 1-12</u>), in which plates are transferred linearly through the device from a position for supplying each plate with omelet batter to a position for removal of a finished omelet from the device, <u>whereby</u> primary coagulation of the omelet batter <u>is performed</u> by separately controllable induction heaters from below in at least two treatment stations.

The subject-matter of claim 13 differs from this known method in that browning of the top surface of the omelet <u>is performed</u> by infrared heating from above.

The subject-matter of claim 13 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide a better foodstuff-preparing result.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 13 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) as it is not rendered obvious by any of the available prior art documents.

Re Item VII:

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Although claims 1 and 13 are drafted in the two-part form the characterizing features (see above) are incorrectly placed in the characterising portion, as they are disclosed in document D1 in combination with the features placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b) PCT).

Re Item VIII:

The underlined passages in the wording of the claims (see above) should have been

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001126

introduced/adapted/amended for the sake of clarity of the concerned claims.